

Participant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Participant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

I.D. Number: \_\_\_\_\_

I.D. Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Participant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

I.D. Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**Overall Evaluation of Written Prospectus and Presentation**

	Little/No Demonstration	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Judged Points
--	----------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	------------------

**Section 1: Executive Summary**

1. Introduction of Companies  
(Possible Points 5)

0	1-2	3-4	5	_____
---	-----	-----	---	-------

**Section 2: Horizontal Analysis**

2. Consider: accuracy of financial computations, thoroughness of report, knowledge of material, quality of analysis, and ability to answer questions  
(Possible Points 15)

0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
-----------	---------	------------	----------	-------

**Section 3: Vertical Analysis**

3. Consider: accuracy of financial computations, thoroughness of report, knowledge of material, quality of analysis, and ability to answer questions  
(Possible Points 15)

0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
-----------	---------	------------	----------	-------

**Section 4: Ratio Analysis**

4. Consider: accuracy of financial computations, thoroughness of report, knowledge of material, quality of analysis, and ability to answer questions  
(Possible Points 15)

0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
-----------	---------	------------	----------	-------

**Section 5: Public Perception**

5. Consider: thoroughness of report, quality of analysis, and ability to answer questions  
(Possible Points 10)

0-1-2	3-4-5	6-7-8	9-10	_____
-------	-------	-------	------	-------

*(continued)*

	<b>Little/No Demonstration</b>	<b>Below Expectations</b>	<b>Meets Expectations</b>	<b>Exceeds Expectations</b>	<b>Judged Points</b>
--	------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------

**Section 6: Conclusion/Recommendations**

6. Consider: quality of conclusions and recommendations; ability to answer questions (Possible Points 15)	0-1-2-3-4	5-6-7-8	9-10-11-12	13-14-15	_____
--	-----------	---------	------------	----------	-------

**WRITTEN PROSPECTUS EVALUATION**

7. Overall Impression of Written Prospectus (Possible Points 5)	0	1-2	3-4	5	_____
--	---	-----	-----	---	-------

**PRESENTATION EVALUATION**

8. Professionalism (Possible Points 5)	0	1-2	3-4	5	_____
--	---	-----	-----	---	-------

9. Quality of Presentation Materials (Possible Points 5)	0	1-2	3-4	5	_____
---	---	-----	-----	---	-------

10. Demonstration of Teamwork (Possible Points 5)	0	1-2	3-4	5	_____
--	---	-----	-----	---	-------

11. Overall performance: Appropriate appearance, poise, confidence, presentation technique, etc. (Possible Points 5)	0	1-2	3-4	5	_____
---	---	-----	-----	---	-------

**Total Judged Points (100 maximum): \_\_\_\_\_**

JUDGE SECTION: A B C D E F G (circle one)

**Tie-Breaker**

For tie-breaking purposes, the following evaluation form ranking process will be used. Begin with item #6. The team with the highest score for #6 wins the tie-break. If this does not break the tie, continue the process for the remaining evaluation items in the following order: 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 11, 7, 10, 8, 9.